

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/345,222	06/30/1999	GLENN W. HUTTON	N0003/7000D3	9970	
75	90 06/20/2002				
Kenyon & Keny One Broadway	yon		KANG, P	KANG, PAUL H	
New York, NY	09/345,222 06/30/1999  7590 06/20/2002  Jeffrey S. Ginsberg, Esq Kenyon & Kenyon	ART UNIT 2152	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2152	9	
			DATE MAILED: 06/20/2002	Ď	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

94

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
٠	09/345,222	HUTTON ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Paul H Kang	2152
The MAILING DATE of this communi		
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI:  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum ste - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply - Any reply received by the Office later than three months at earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a nunication. 0) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thi atutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO will, by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>19 April 2002</u> .	
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL.	2b)☐ This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition	n for allowance except for formal ma	atters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the pract Disposition of Claims	tice under <i>Ex part</i> e <i>Quayle</i> , 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4) Claim(s) 21-23 is/are pending in the	e application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ai	re withdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-23</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restric	ction and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:		
Applicant may not request that any obj		• /
11) The proposed drawing correction filed		disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are rec		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
<u> </u>	documents have been received.	
<u> </u>	documents have been received in a	
	of the priority documents have been ational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). In for a list of the certified copies no	_
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo	or domestic priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign land</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim foreign</li> </ul>		
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P' 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Page 1	TO-948) 5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 8

Art Unit: 2152

1. The drawings are objected to because of the informalities noted in the attached form
PTO-948. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the
Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objections to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Perkins, US Pat. No. 5,159,592 in view of Higgins, US Pat. No. 5,953,350.

- 3. As to claims 21-23, Perkins teaches a computer program product, computer data signal embodied in a carrier wave and a method comprising the steps of:
  - a. receiving the current network protocol address of a process coupled to the network (col. 2, line 62 col.3, line 38 and col. 4, line 49 col. 5, line 49);
  - b. receiving an identifier associated with said one process (col. 5, lines 52-65);
  - c. receiving a query for one of the network protocol address and the associated identifier of said one process from another of the processes over the computer network (col. 4, line 49 col. 6, line 57); and

Art Unit: 2152

d. providing one of the network protocol address and the associated identifier of said one process to a said another process over the computer network, if the said one process is connected to the computer network (col. 6, line 20 – col. 7, line 2 and col. 8, line 30 – col. 9, line 26).

However, Perkins does not explicitly teach a point-to-point connection. In the same field of endeavor, Higgins teaches establishing a point-to-point. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the point-to-point connection as taught by Higgins into the system of Perkins in order to increase reliability and efficiency of the system.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/345,222

Art Unit: 2152

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul H Kang whose telephone number is (703) 308-6123. The examiner can normally be reached on 9 hour flex. First Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Rinehart can be reached on (703) 305-4815. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-9731 for regular communications and (703) 305-3900 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Paul H Kang Examiner Art Unit 2152

June 17, 2002

MARK H. RINEHART
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 4

**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100**